

LAPORAN PENELITIAN MANDIRI
KATEGORI C



KAJIAN SOCIAL NETWORK ANALYSIS PADA BIROKRASI PERENCANAAN
PERKOTAAN

TIM PENGUSUL

Fauzul Rizal Sutikno, ST., MT., Ph.D.	NIDN. 0017108103
Ir. Ismu Rini Dwi Ari, MT., Ph.D.	NIDN. 0021126807
Juliansyah Dwi Ananda Putra	NIM. 195060601111023
Anggi Mentari Daniswari	NIM. 195060601111003

Dilaksanakan atas biaya PNBP Tahun Anggaran 2021
Fakultas Teknik Universitas Brawijaya berdasarkan kontrak
Nomor: 87/UN10.F07/PN/2021
Tanggal: 3 Mei 2021

JURUSAN PERENCANAAN WILAYAH DAN KOTA
FAKULTAS TEKNIK
UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA MALANG
NOVEMBER 2021

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN

Judul Penelitian : Kajian Social Network Analysis pada Birokrasi Perencanaan Perkotaan

Kategori Penelitian : C

Ketua Tim Pengusul

a. Nama Lengkap	: Fauzul Rizal Sutikno, ST., MT., Ph.D.
b. NIDN	: 0017108103
c. Jabatan Fungsional	: Asisten Ahli
d. Program Studi	: Perencanaan Wilayah dan kota
e. No.HP	: 08121780499
f. Alamat surel (email)	: fauzulrizal@ub.ac.id

Anggota Peneliti (1)

a. Nama lengkap	: Ir. Ismu Rini Dwi Ari, MT., Ph.D.
b. NIDN	: 0021126807
c. Perguruan Tinggi	: Universitas Brawijaya


Lama Penelitian Keseluruhan : 6 bulan

Biaya Penelitian Keseluruhan : Rp. 5.000.000,00

Biaya Tahun Berjalan : -

Malang, 11 September 2021

Mengetahui,
Ketua BPP Fakultas Teknik
Universitas Brawijaya


Dr. Runi Asmaranto, S.T., M.T., IPM
NIP. 197108302000121001

Ketua Peneliti.


Fauzul Rizal Sutikno, ST., MT., Ph.D.
NIP. 198110172008011008

Menyetujui,
Dekan Fakultas Teknik
Universitas Brawijaya


Prof. Ir. Hadi Suyono, S.T., M.T., Ph.D., IPU., ASEAN Eng.
NIP. 19730520 200801 1 013

IDENTITAS PENELITIAN

Judul Usulan : Kajian Social Network Analysis pada Birokrasi Perencanaan Perkotaan
1. Kategori Penelitian : C
2. Ketua Tim Pengusul
 a. Nama Lengkap : Fauzul Rizal Sutikno, ST., MT., Ph.D.
 b. Bidang keahlian : Kebijakan Perkotaan, Pembangunan Berbasis Masyarakat
 c. Jabatan Struktural : -
 d. Jabatan Fungsional : Asisten Ahli
 e. Fakultas/ Jurusan/ PS : Teknik/ Perencanaan Wilayah dan Kota
 f. Alamat surat : Jl. Cempaka Putih kav. 5 no. 6, Malang, 65141
 g. Telepon/Faks : 08121780499
 h. E-mail : fauzulrizal@ub.ac.id
3. Anggota tim pengusul
 a. Dosen:

No.	Nama dan Gelar Akademik	Bidang Keahlian	Unit Kerja	Alokasi Waktu (jam/minggu)
1.	Fauzul Rizal Sutikno, ST., MT.. Ph.D.	Kebijakan Perkotaan dan Pembangunan Masyarakat	Jurusan PWK	12
2.	Ir. Ismu Rini Dwi Ari, MT., Ph.D.	Modal Sosial	Jurusan PWK	12

- b. Mahasiswa:
 1). Mahasiswa 1 : Juliansyah Dwi Ananda Putra (NIM. 195060601111023)
 2). Mahasiswa 2 : Anggi Mentari Daniswari (NIM. 195060601111003)
4. Objek penelitian : Jaringan sosial birokrasi pemerintahan
 5. Masa pelaksanaan penelitian : Mei
 a. Mulai : November
 b. Berakhir
 6. Anggaran yang diusulkan : Rp. 5.000.000
 (Terbilang: Lima Juta Rupiah)
 7. Lokasi penelitian : Kampung Warna-Warni Jodipan dan Kampung Tridi, Kota Malang
 8. Hasil yang ditargetkan : Mengidentifikasi kesenjangan informasi dan kohesi dalam birokrasi negara yang mempengaruhi agenda politik di balik pengaturan proyek yang berkaitan dengan kedua permukiman informal
 9. Institusi lain yang terlibat : -

RINGKASAN

Di perkotaan Indonesia, banyak permukiman informal dibangun di atas tanah negara sehingga menjadikannya ilegal dan rawan penggusuran. Namun, karena kurangnya sumber daya untuk melakukan proses penggusuran atau karena kepentingan politik para pengambil keputusan kota, negara secara informal mengizinkan adanya permukiman informal tersebut. Interaksi politik dalam birokrasi perkotaan di Indonesia menimbulkan ketidakpastian bagaimana regulasi diimplementasikan oleh negara mengenai penduduk perkotaan, sektor swasta, dan antarlembaga negara. Studi ini akan Mengidentifikasi kesenjangan informasi dan kohesi dalam birokrasi negara yang mempengaruhi agenda politik di balik pengaturan proyek yang berkaitan dengan permukiman informal menggunakan metode jaringan sosial.

Keywords: informal, politik, birokrasi, social network analysis

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adler, P. S., & Kwon, S.-W. (2002). Social capital: Prospects for a new concept. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(1), 17–40.
- Altrock, U. (2012). Conceptualising informality: Some thoughts on the way towards generalisation. *Urban Informalities: Reflections on the Formal and Informal*, 171–194.
- Altshuler, A. A. (1966). *The city planning process: A political analysis*. Cornell University Press.
- Arandel, C., & Wetterberg, A. (2013). Between “Authoritarian” and “Empowered” slum relocation: Social mediation in the case of Ennakhil, Morocco. *Cities*, 30(1), 140–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2012.02.005>
- Arimah, B. (2005). What drives infrastructure spending in cities of developing countries? *Urban Studies*, 42(8), 1345–1368. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00420980500150680>
- Bacharach, S. B., & Lawler, E. J. (1980). *Power and politics in organizations*. Jossey-Bass Inc Pub.
- Bardhan, P., & Mookherjee, D. (2006). Decentralisation and Accountability in Infrastructure Delivery in Developing Countries. *The Economic Journal*, 116(508), 101–127. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3590337>
- Bates, R. H. (2008). State Failure. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.060606.132017>
- Beard, V. A. (1999). Navigating and creating spaces: An Indonesian community’s struggle for land tenure. *Plurimondi*, 1(2), 127–145.
- Beard, V. A. (2002). Covert Planning for Social Transformation in Indonesia. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 22(1), 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X0202200102>
- Beard, V. A. (2003). Learning Radical Planning: The Power of Collective Action. *Planning Theory*, 2(1), 13–35. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473095203002001004>
- Beard, V. A. (2012). Citizen Planners: From Self-Help to Political Transformation. In R. Crane & R. Weber (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Urban Planning*. “Oxford University Press.” <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195374995.013.0034>
- Beard, V. A., Mahendra, A., & Westphal, M. I. (2016). *Towards a More Equal City: Framing the Challenges and Opportunities*. Washington, DC. Retrieved from www.citiesforall.org
- Benda, H. J. (1966). The pattern of administrative reforms in the closing years of Dutch rule in Indonesia. *The Journal of Asian Studies*, 25(4), 589–605.
- Bogaert, K. (2011). The problem of slums: Shifting methods of neoliberal urban government in Morocco. *Development and Change*, 42(3), 709–731.
- Borgatti, S. P., & Everett, M. G. (2006). A graph-theoretic perspective on centrality. *Social Networks*, 28(4), 466–484.
- Borgatti, S. P., Jones, C., & Everett, M. G. (1998). Network measures of social capital. *Connections*, 21(2), 27–36.
- Bourdieu, P., & Wacquant, L. J. D. (1992). *An invitation to reflexive sociology*. University of Chicago press.
- Burt, R. S. (2000). The network structure of social capital. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 22, 345–423.

Untuk mengakomodasi tujuan politik pemegang kebijakan, negara dengan senangnya membuat dirinya transparan kepada masyarakat informal dengan memanfaatkan ketidakpastian peraturan dan birokrasi informalitas. Dengan mengakui kedua permukiman sebagai bagian dari kebijakan pemerintah kota, pemerintah kota harus mengakui keberadaan pemukiman, sehingga menunjukan koridor kebijakan kota yang mendukung kedua pemukiman dengan proyek dan program negara.

- Burt, R. S. (2001). Structural holes versus network closure as social capital. In N. Lin, K. S. Cook, & R. S. Burt (Eds.), *Social Capital: Theory and Research* (pp. 31–56). Transaction Publishers.
- Burt, R. S. (2004). Structural holes and good ideas. *American Journal of Sociology*, 110(2), 349–399.
- Burt, R. S. (2009). Structural holes: The social structure of competition. *Explorations in economic sociology* (Vol. 65). Harvard university press.
- Burt, R. S., & Merluzzi, J. (2014). Embedded brokerage: Hubs versus locals. In *Contemporary Perspectives on Organizational Social Networks* (pp. 161–177). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, S95–S120.
- Coleman, J. S. (1990). Foundations of social theory. Cambridge, Mass.: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press. Retrieved from <http://proxy.library.cornell.edu/login?url=http://www.aspresolver.com/aspresolver.asp?SOTH>
- Curran, J., & Blackburn, R. (2000). *Researching the small enterprise*. Sage.
- Dasgupta, A., & Beard, V. A. (2007). Community driven development, collective action and elite capture in Indonesia. *Development and Change*, 38(2), 229–249. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7660.2007.00410.x>
- De Wit, J. (2016). *Urban Poverty, Local Governance and Everyday Politics in Mumbai*. Taylor & Francis.
- Della Porta, D., & Diani, M. (1999). Social movements: An introduction. John Wiley & Sons.
- Desai, R. (2012). Governing the Urban Poor : Riverfront Development , Slum Resettlement and the Politics Governing the Urban Poor : Riverfront Development , Slum Resettlement flats relocated under the Sabar. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 47(2), 49–56.
- Desmond, M. (2012). Eviction and the reproduction of urban poverty. *American Journal of Sociology*, 118(1), 88–133. <https://doi.org/10.1086/666082>
- Diani, M. (1992). The Concept of Social Movement. *The Sociological Review*, 40(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.1992.tb02943.x>
- Diani, M., & McAdam, D. (2003). Social movements and networks: Relational approaches to collective action. Oxford University Press.
- Dovey, K., & King, R. (2011). Forms of informality: Morphology and visibility of informal settlements. *Built Environment*, 37(1), 11–29. <https://doi.org/10.2148/benv.37.1.11>
- Eldemery, I. . (2002). Towards a participatory loan – supported housing scheme. *Cities*, 19(6), 401–408. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0264-2751\(02\)00070-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0264-2751(02)00070-7)
- Elgin, C., & Oyat, C. (2013). Lurking in the cities: Urbanization and the informal economy. *Structural Change and Economic Dynamics*, 27(Supplement C), 36–47. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strueco.2013.06.003>
- Emmenegger, P. (2011). How good are your counterfactuals? Assessing quantitative macro-comparative welfare state research with qualitative criteria. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 21(4), 365–380. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0958928711412222>
- Emmerson, D. K. (1983). Understanding the New Order: Bureaucratic Pluralism in Indonesia. *Asian Survey*, 23(11), 1220–1241. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2644374>

- Erickson, B. H., Nosanchuk, T. A., Mostacci, L., & Dalrymple, C. F. (1978). The Flow of Crisis Information as a Probe of Work Relations. *Canadian Journal of Sociology / Cahiers Canadiens de Sociologie*, 3(1), 71. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3339794>
- Forester, J. (1988). Planning in the Face of Power. *Journal of the American Planning Association* (Vol. 48). Univ of California Press. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944368208976167>
- Forester, J. (2009). Dealing with differences dramas of mediating public disputes /. Oxford ; Oxford University Press.
- French, J. R. P., & Raven, B. (1959). The bases of social power. In D. E. Cartwright (Ed.), *Studies in social power* (p. 1959).
- Friedkin, N. (1980). A test of structural features of granovetter's strength of weak ties theory. *Social Networks*, 2(4), 411–422. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733\(80\)90006-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8733(80)90006-4)
- Friedmann, J. (1989). The Latin American barrio movement as a social movement: contribution to a debate. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 13(3), 501–510.
- Gandhi, A. (2012). Informal moral economies and urban governance in India. In *Urban Informalities* (pp. 51–66). Ashgate.
- Gargiulo, M., & Benassi, M. (2000). Trapped in Your Own Net? Network Cohesion, Structural Holes, and the Adaptation of Social Capital. *Organization Science*, 11(2), 183–196. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.11.2.183.12514>
- Goldstone, J. A. (2004). More social movements or fewer? Beyond political opportunity structures to relational fields. *Theory and Society*, 33(3–4), 333–365.
- Goodwin, J., & Jasper, J. M. (1999). Caught in a winding, snarling vine: The structural bias of political process theory. In *Sociological forum* (Vol. 14, pp. 27–54). Springer.
- Granovetter, M. (1983). The strength of weak ties: A network theory revisited. *Sociological Theory*, 201–233.
- Granovetter, M. (1985). Economic action and social structure: The problem of embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91(3), 481–510.
- Grewal, R., Lilien, G. L., & Mallapragada, G. (2006). Location, location, location: How network embeddedness affects project success in open source systems. *Management Science*, 52(7), 1043–1056.
- Grimble, R., & Wellard, K. (1997). Stakeholder methodologies in natural resource management: a review of principles, contexts, experiences and opportunities. *Agricultural Systems*, 55(2), 173–193.
- Guinness, P. (2009). *Kampung, Islam and state in urban Java*. NUS Press.
- Gupta, A. (1995). Blurred boundaries: the discourse of corruption, the culture of politics, and the imagined state. *American Ethnologist*, 22(2), 375–402.
- Hansen, T. B. (2005). Sovereigns beyond the state: on legality and authority in urban India. *Sovereign Bodies: Citizens, Migrants, and States in the Postcolonial World*, 169–191.
- Hood, J. C. (2007). Orthodoxy vs. power: The defining traits of grounded theory. *The Sage Handbook of Grounded Theory*, 151–164.
- Ibarra, H., & Andrews, S. B. (1993). Power, social influence, and sense making: Effects of network centrality and proximity on employee perceptions. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 277–303.
- Isin, E. F., & Wood, P. K. (1999). *Citizenship and identity* (Vol. 448). Sage.

- Jack, S. L. (2005). The role, use and activation of strong and weak network ties: A qualitative analysis. *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(6), 1233–1259.
- Jellinek, L. (1991). *The wheel of fortune: The history of a poor community in Jakarta*. University of Hawaii Press.
- Johnston, H. (2014). What is a social movement? Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Jones, P. (2012). Pacific Urbanisation and the rise of informal settlements: trends and implications from Port Moresby. *Urban Policy and Research*, 30(2), 145–160.
- Kadushin, C., & Brimm, M. (1990). Why networking fails: double binds and the limitations of shadow networks. INSEAD.
- Keck, M. (2012). Informality as borrowed security: contested food markets in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Urban Informalities. Reflections on the Formal and Informal*.
- Kelly, P. F. (2003). Urbanization and the politics of land in the Manila region. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 590(1), 170–187.
- Khosla, R. (2014). Community participation for inclusive urbanization: moving from tokenism to genuine participation. In K. K. Shrestha, H. R. Ojha, P. McManus, A. Rubbo, & K. K. Dhote (Eds.), *Inclusive urbanization: Rethinking policy, practice and research in the age of climate change*. Routledge.
- King, R., Orloff, M., Virsilas, T., & Pande, T. (2017). *Confronting the Urban Housing Crisis in the Global South: Adequate, Secure, and Affordable Housing Confronting the Urban Housing Crisis in the Global South*. Washington, DC. Retrieved from https://www.wri.org/sites/default/files/Confronting_the_Urban_Housing_Crisis.pdf
- Krackhardt, D. (1990). Assessing the political landscape: Structure, cognition, and power in organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 342–369.
- Krackhardt, D., & Hanson, J. R. (1993). Informal networks. *Harvard Business Review*, 71(4), 104–111.
- Kreibich, V. (2012). The mode of informal urbanisation: reconciling social and statutory regulation in urban land management. *Urban Informalities: Reflections on the Formal and Informal*, 149–170.
- Krikorian, D. H., Seibold, D. R., & Goode, P. L. (1997). Reengineering at LAC. *Case Studies in Organizational Communication 2: Perspectives on Contemporary Work Life*, 129.
- Krumholz, N., & Forester, J. (2011). *Making equity planning work: Leadership in the public sector*. Temple University Press.
- Kundu, A. (2013). Making Indian cities slum-free: Vision and operationalisation. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 48(17), 15–18.
- Lefebvre, H. (1991). *The production of space* (Vol. 142). Oxford Blackwell.
- Ley, A. (2012). Juggling with formality and informality in housing: some lessons from the new South Africa. *Urban Informalities: Reflections on the Formal and Informal*, 13–28.
- Lin, N., Cook, K. S., & Burt, R. S. (2001). *Social capital: Theory and research*. Transaction Publishers.
- Long, J. C., Cunningham, F. C., & Braithwaite, J. (2013). Bridges, brokers and boundary spanners in collaborative networks: a systematic review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 13(1), 1–13. Retrieved from <http://10.0.4.162/1472-6963-13-158>
- Malhotra, D., & Bazerman, M. H. (2008). *Negotiation genius: How to overcome obstacles and achieve brilliant results at the bargaining table and beyond*. Bantam.

- Mansuri, G., & Rao, V. (2012). Localizing Development. The World Bank.
<https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-8256-1>
- Marsden, P. V. (1990). Network data and measurement. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 16(1), 435–463.
- Marsden, P. V. (2011). Survey methods for network data. In J. Scott & P. J. Carrington (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of social network analysis*. SAGE publications.
- Marsden, P. V., & Campbell, K. E. (1984). Measuring tie strength. *Social Forces*, 63(2), 482–501.
- Marwell, G., & Oliver, P. (1993). *The critical mass in collective action*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mayer, M. (2012). The “right to the city” in urban social movements. *Cities for People, Not for Profit: Critical Urban Theory and the Right to the City*, 63–85.
- McAdam, D., Tarrow, S., & Tilly, C. (2001). *Dynamics of contention*. Cambridge University.
- McFarlane, C., & Waibel, M. (2012). Introduction: The informal-formal divide in context. In C. McFarlane & M. Waibel (Eds.), *Urban informalities: reflections on the formal and informal* (pp. 1–12). Farnham: Ashgate Farnham.
- Mirafstab, F., & Wills, S. (2005). Insurgency and spaces of active citizenship: The story of Western Cape anti-eviction campaign in South Africa. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 25(2), 200–217.
- Mitlin, D., & Mogaladi, J. (2013). Social movements and the struggle for shelter: A case study of eThekweni (Durban). *Progress in Planning*, 84(Supplement C), 1–39.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progress.2012.12.001>
- Monge, P. R., & Contractor, N. S. (2003). *Theories of communication networks*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Needleman, M. L. 1936-. (1974). *Guerrillas in the bureaucracy: the community planning experiment in the United States*. New York: Wiley.
- Nuijten, M. (2013). The perversity of the “Citizenship Game”: Slum-upgrading in the urban periphery of Recife, Brazil. *Critique of Anthropology*, 33(1), 8–25.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0308275X12466683>
- O'Rourke, D. (2004). *Community-driven regulation: Balancing development and the environment in Vietnam*. MIT Press.
- Ockey, J. (1997). Weapons of the Urban Weak: Democracy and Resistance to Eviction in Bangkok Slum Communities. *Sojourn: Journal of Social Issues in Southeast Asia*, 12(1), 1–25. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41056952>
- Oldfield, S., & Stokke, K. (2006). Building unity in diversity: Social movement activism in the Western Cape Anti-Eviction Campaign. na.
- Ostrom, E. (1998). A Behavioral Approach to the Rational Choice Theory of Collective Action. *The American Political Science Review*, 92(1), 1–22.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2585925>
- Painter, J., & Goodwin, M. (1995). Local governance and concrete research: investigating the uneven development of regulation. *Economy and Society*, 24(3), 334–356.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03085149500000014>
- Pieterse, E. (2013). *City futures: Confronting the crisis of urban development*. Zed Books Ltd.

- Podolny, J. M., & Baron, J. N. (1997). Resources and relationships: Social networks and mobility in the workplace. *American Sociological Review*, 673–693.
- Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2010). Generalization in quantitative and qualitative research: Myths and strategies. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 47(11), 1451–1458.
- Purcell, M. (2002). Excavating Lefebvre: The right to the city and its urban politics of the inhabitant. *GeoJournal*, 58, 99–108.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:GEJO.0000010829.62237.8f>
- Purcell, M. (2003). Citizenship and the Right to the Global City. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 27(3), 564–590. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2427.00467>
- Putnam, R. D. (1993). The prosperous community. *The American Prospect*, 4(13), 35–42.
- Putnam, R. D., Leonardi, R., & Nanetti, R. Y. (1994). *Making democracy work: Civic traditions in modern Italy*. Princeton university press.
- Ramaswami, A., Russell, A. G., Culligan, P. J., Rahul Sharma, K., & Kumar, E. (2016). Meta-principles for developing smart, sustainable, and healthy cities. *Science*, 352(6288), 940–943. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf7160>
- Rasmussen, A., Akinsulure-Smith, A. M., & Chu, T. (2016). Grounded theory. In L. Jason & D. S. Glenwick (Eds.), *Handbook of methodological approaches to community-based research: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods*. Oxford University Press.
- Ravallion, M., Chen, S., & Sangraula, P. (2007). *The Urbanization of Global Poverty*. World Bank. Retrieved from http://siteresources.worldbank.org/DEC/Resources/84797-1154354760266/2807421-1183396414833/The_Urbanization_of_Global_Poverty.pdf
- Rondinelli, D. A. (1990). Housing the urban poor in developing countries. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 49(2), 153–166.
- Roy, A. (2003). *City requiem, Calcutta: gender and the politics of poverty* (Vol. 10). U of Minnesota Press.
- Roy, A. (2009a). The 21st-century metropolis: new geographies of theory. *Regional Studies*, 43(6), 819–830.
- Roy, A. (2009b). Why India cannot plan its cities: Informality, insurgence and the idiom of urbanization. *Planning Theory*, 8(1), 76–87.
- Roy, A., & AlSayyad, N. (Eds.). (2004). *Urban informality: transnational perspectives from the Middle East, Latin America, and South Asia*. Lanham, Md.: Lexington Books.
- Schmid, C. (2012). Henri Lefebvre, the right to the city, and the new metropolitan mainstream. *Cities for People, Not for Profit: Critical Urban Theory and the Right to the City*, 42–62.
- Scott, J. C. (1998). *Seeing like a state: How certain schemes to improve the human condition have failed*. Yale University Press.
- Scott, W. R. (2008). Approaching adulthood: the maturing of institutional theory. *Theory and Society*, 37(5), 427.
- Seale, C. (2004). Validity, reliability and the quality of research. *Researching Society and Culture*, 71–83.
- Simone, A. (2010). *City life from Jakarta to Dakar: movements at the crossroads*. Routledge.

- Snow, D. A., Rochford Jr, E. B., Worden, S. K., & Benford, R. D. (1986). Frame alignment processes, micromobilization, and movement participation. *American Sociological Review*, 464–481.
- Somers, M. R. (1993). Citizenship and the Place of the Public Sphere: Law, Community, and Political Culture in the Transition to Democracy. *American Sociological Review*, 58(5), 587–620. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2096277>
- Staeheli, L. A., Mitchell, D., & Gibson, K. (2002). Conflicting rights to the city in New York's community gardens. *GeoJournal*, 58(2), 197–205.
- Stewart, C. J., Smith, C. A., & Denton Jr, R. E. (2012). *Persuasion and social movements*. Waveland Press.
- Stone, C. N. (1989). Regime politics: governing Atlanta, 1946–1988. Univ Pr of Kansas.
- Tarrow, S. G. (2011). Power in movement: Social movements and contentious politics. Cambridge University Press.
- Tetlock, P. E., & Belkin, A. (1996). Counterfactual thought experiments in world politics: Logical, methodological, and psychological perspectives. Princeton University Press.
- Tilly, C., & Wood, L. J. (2015). *Social Movements 1768–2012*. Routledge.
- Uzzi, B. (1996). The sources and consequences of embeddedness for the economic performance of organizations: The network effect. *American Sociological Review*, 674–698.
- Viratkapan, V., & Perera, R. (2006). Slum relocation projects in Bangkok: What has contributed to their success or failure? *Habitat International*, 30(1), 157–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2004.09.002>
- Wilson, J. Q. (1989). *Bureaucracy: what government agencies do and why they do it*. New York: Basic Books.
- Wilson, W. J. (2011). When work disappears: The world of the new urban poor. Vintage.
- Winayanti, L., & Lang, H. C. (2004). Provision of urban services in an informal settlement: a case study of Kampung Penas Tanggul, Jakarta. *Habitat International*, 28(1), 41–65. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-3975\(02\)00072-3](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-3975(02)00072-3)
- Xiao, Z., & Tsui, A. S. (2007). When brokers may not work: The cultural contingency of social capital in Chinese high-tech firms. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52(1), 1–31.
- Yiftachel, O. (2009). Critical theory and 'gray space': Mobilization of the colonized. *City*, 13(2–3), 246–263.
- Zhang, L., Zhao, S. X. B., & Tian, J. P. (2003). Self-help in housing and chengzhongcun in China's urbanization. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 27(4), 912–937.